## 2019 Lake Survey

Measureable Questions	Yes	% Yes	No	% No	Blank	% Blank	Total Responses	% of Respondents with Personal Property Damage and in favor of no- wake	% of Respondents with Real Property Damage and in favor of no-wake
Do you use lake for recreational purposes? (fishing, kayaking, water skiing, tubing, etc.)	123	99.19%	1	0.81%	0	0.00%	124		
Would you have been in favor of a "slow no-wake Ordinance" this past summer (July-August 2019) when the lake level was measured by Kapur & Associates at approx. 6" above the Ordinary High-Water Mark? (i.e. historical average lake level)	33	26.61%	88	70.97%	3	2.42%	124		
Do you believe a prolonged period (3 months or more) of "Slow no-wake", would negatively impact your property values?	82	66.13%	39	31.45%	3	2.42%	124		
Have you had any "personal property" damage due to water levels this summer? (examples of personal property may include: boats, piers, etc.)	27	21.77%	96	77.42%	1	0.81%	124	44.44%	
Have you had any "real property" damage due to water levels this summer? (examples of "real property" may include: land, house)	15	12.10%	106	85.48%	3	2.42%	124		66.67%
Would you be willing to contribute to a study to help determine at what water level above the Ordinary High-Water Mark, a "Slow no-wake" Ordinance could be triggered?	34	27.42%	87	70.16%	3	2.42%	124		
	Donation	Assessment	Either	Blank		Total			
If Yes, by donation or special assessment by the Sanitary District?	38.24%	52.94%	2.94%	5.88%		100.00%	34		